

IN THE COURT OF APPEALS OF THE STATE OF IDAHO

Docket No. 35611

STATE OF IDAHO,)	2009 Unpublished Opinion No. 470
)	
Plaintiff-Respondent,)	Filed: May 21, 2009
)	
v.)	Stephen W. Kenyon, Clerk
)	
JARED McDONALD,)	THIS IS AN UNPUBLISHED
)	OPINION AND SHALL NOT
Defendant-Appellant.)	BE CITED AS AUTHORITY
)	

Appeal from the District Court of the Sixth Judicial District, State of Idaho, Bannock County. Hon. Ronald E. Bush, District Judge.

Judgment of conviction and unified sentence of fourteen years, with a minimum period of confinement of five years, for grand theft, affirmed.

Molly J. Huskey, State Appellate Public Defender; Diane M. Walker, Deputy Appellate Public Defender, Boise, for appellant.

Hon. Lawrence G. Wasden, Attorney General; Lori A. Fleming, Deputy Attorney General, Boise, for respondent.

Before LANSING, Chief Judge; PERRY, Judge;
and GRATTON, Judge

PER CURIAM

Jared McDonald pled guilty to grand theft. I.C. §§ 18-2403(1), 18-2407(1). In exchange for his guilty plea, the state agreed not to pursue additional charges. The district court sentenced McDonald to a unified term of fourteen years, with a minimum period of confinement of five years. The district court ordered that McDonald's sentence run concurrent to other sentences in five additional counties. McDonald appeals.

Sentencing is a matter for the trial court's discretion. Both our standard of review and the factors to be considered in evaluating the reasonableness of the sentence are well established and need not be repeated here. *See State v. Hernandez*, 121 Idaho 114, 117-18, 822 P.2d 1011, 1014-15 (Ct. App. 1991); *State v. Lopez*, 106 Idaho 447, 449-51, 680 P.2d 869, 871-73 (Ct. App.

1984); *State v. Toohill*, 103 Idaho 565, 568, 650 P.2d 707, 710 (Ct. App. 1982). When reviewing the length of a sentence, we consider the defendant's entire sentence. *State v. Oliver*, 144 Idaho 722, 726, 170 P.3d 387, 391 (2007). Applying these standards, and having reviewed the record in this case, we cannot say that the district court abused its discretion.

Therefore, McDonald's judgment of conviction and sentence are affirmed.